

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 7 TH-1038 09/080,684 05/18/98 MIKUS **EXAMINER** PM92/0716 TAYLOR, D DEL S CHRISTENSEN SHELL OIL COMPANY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 3672 P 0 BOX 2463 HOUSTON TX 77252-2463 DATE MAILED: 07/16/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/080,684

Dennis L. Taylor

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Mikus, T. et al

3672



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 1, 1999	•
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
\square received in this national stage application from the	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	_ _
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	·
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	940
. Notice of informal ratent Application, F10-192	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/080,684 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Figures 1 and 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3672

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants remarks set forth in the response of June 1, 1999 have not been answered at this time. However, such remarks will be answered at the time the next Office action is made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Taylor whose **telephone number is (703) 308-1013**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

DENNIS I_L TAYLOR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3672

July 13, 1999 (13) 080684.r